CONTRACTOR

2021 NOV -3 AM 11: 40

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GREGORY MIKES BARTLE	
Write the full name of each plaintiff.	(Include case number if one has beer assigned)
-against-	ORP COMPLAINT
FRANKLIN MORTHAG	Do you want a jury trial?  ☐ Yes ☐ No

Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II.

This ECA LEALING CORP TRANKLY MORTAGE

Asset Must 2009-A.

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

### BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case?

information for each additional plaintiff.

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

	Federal Question 12-B-1
	☐ Diversity of Citizenship
	A. If you checked Federal Question
128-1	Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated?  LIBST WEBS A  LACK OF SURVEYOR  VOID SURGENERAL
	B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship
,	1. Citizenship of the parties
	Of what State is each party a citizen?
	The plaintiff,, is a citizen of the State of (Plaintiff's name)
	(State in which the person resides and intends to remain.)
	or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of
	If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing

If the defendant is an individual:	
The defendant, (Defendant's name)	, is a citizen of the State of
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent subject of the foreign state of	
If the defendant is a corporation:	
The defendant,	, is incorporated under the laws of
the State of	
and has its principal place of business in the	he State of
or is incorporated under the laws of (foreign	gn state)
•	
If more than one defendant is named in the confirmation for each additional defendant.	
II. PARTIES	
A. Plaintiff Information	
Provide the following information for each p pages if needed.  GRAGORY  MIES	laintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional
First Name Middle Initial 99-03 2/19##	Last Name APLIB
Street Address  OUEFUL 1/1/1998	NEW YORK 11429
County, City 347-723-6170	State Zip Code UNEG/1037 & graff, Com
Telephone Number	Email Address (if available)

# B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant 1:	TOURCE	7 / PRHIX/9 C	OPP
Defendant 1.	First Name	Last Name	
	Current Job Title (or	other identifying information)	
	Current Work Addre	de the other address where desert	1400 0
	County, City	State	Zip Code 0/304
Defendant 2:			
	FIMM//K	Last Name  MODIGAGE	Asset Thus,
	2009At	other identifying Information)	
<i></i>	Current Work Addre	ess (or other address where defen	Idant may be served
•	County, City	State	Zip Cody 30X
Defendant 3:			
	First Name	Last Name	
	Current Job Title (or	r other identifying information)	*
	Current Work Addre	ess (or other address where defer	ndant may be served)
	County, City	State	Zip Code

Defendant 4:			
	First Name	Last Name	
	Current Job Title (or	other identifying information)	
	Current Work Address	rs (or other address where defens	Vant may be conved
	Current Work Addres	ss (or other address where defenc	aant may be served)
	County, City	State	Zip Code
III. STATEME	NT OF CLAIM		
Place(s) of occurr	rence: Mul	May M. J.	
Date(s) of occurre	ence: SUI	Je 25, 2008	
FACTS:		•	
	at each defendant per	ort your case. Describe what hap sonally did or failed to do that ha	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOUTE	A / EXH	LYUG CORP +	FRANV) W
MORT	MAGE 7	ALED to	OBAN
SULSI	tet N	OFFER JUI	risdiction
OVER	Ne, f	Pursuffet	15
1218	11/2/	3-4, 12,15-3	5, Rule
1	1/1/1/1/1/	JOUE 1 1	F11 17
State	- DOORS	COST CEN	1500 1 Aug
CPLA	1308/1	1) NAIL M	Ail pulsuffle
10 FE	JERAL.	Rule 4-E-	11285
EVHYK	FDAD	8, SHOW SU	* PSYCHM
Compl	ANT W	AS MAILEL	over one
JEAN	LATE /	STEPTV	to proving
1/0	I sudi	1 Nest.	~ 4 <b>5</b>
Vo	in sur		Page 5
SEE N	IstON Ath	pertnext DAM	Ed october 31,202

ont MAILING OF THE CUMMONS
AND CONDANT WAS WHITE
VINTIMIN DETENDANTE DURSUANT
191BY 45 NEVERTIES DROST OF
envice in the country clerk
DECANATED IN THE SUXMONS +
COMPLANTE THE NETTHOANS
NEVER PROPERLY HOTTY ME OF
LAUSUSTI THE TAILED TO BEAN
SUBSTEL MATER JURISH'STAH
INJURIES: QUEN Me,
If you were injured as a result of these actions, describe your injuries and what medical treatment, if any, you required and received.
I WAR EVELET FROM MYHOMES
JUSIN ZUNCE
ANd property sold NO SLEEP
NO SET CANATPROVILE FOR MY
WITE, Anto YouTh
MENCOTO III
IV. RELIEF
State briefly what money damages or other relief you want the court to order.
DISMISS FORECLOSUPE ACTION PURSUAN
to Void Judgment, 128/1285
LACK OF JURISCHETTOIL
NO SULTECT MATTER JURISLICTOR
DER SET ASIDE FORECLOSURE SAJE-

SEE MISKON AttACHMENT DAKE october 3/2021

### V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attaproceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff n	
Nov 3, 2021	Mes mentes
GARGORY Miles	Plaintiff's Signature
First Name Middle Initial  Middle Initial	Last Name
Street Address  BUEENS VIAGR	11429
County, City 200 State	Zip Code
Telephone Number	Email Address (if available)  [PL9/003] @ 9HA. 1. CO

I have read the Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Consent to Receive Documents Electronically:

Yes 

No

If you do consent to receive documents electronically, submit the completed form with your complaint. If you do not consent, please do not attach the form.

RECEIVED SONY FIND SE UFFIAL 27H WOV - 3 - AM 11: 1,0

October 31, 2021

).

99-03 212th street, Apt 2B

Queens New York 11429

347-723-6170.

Application Pursuant to Court order dated January 29, 2016, seeking Permission to file this new complaint. LACK OF JURISDICTION/ 12(B)(1). RULE 60(B)(4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT NEW OF YORK.

### VOID JUDGMENT.

12(B)(1) 12(B)(4) 12(B)(5) 12(B)(2) 60(B)(4) CPLR 308(4).

\_RE; <u>Article III.</u> my property was sold without jurisdiction this is my <u>injury.</u>

RE: A void judgment is a simulated judgment devoid of any potency because of <u>jurisdictional defects only</u>, In the court rendering it and defect of jurisdiction may relate to a parties or party. The <u>Subject Matter</u>, this question to be deteremine.

Davidson Chevrolet, Inc, v. City and County of Denver, 330 P.2d 1116, certiorari denied 79 S.Ct.609, 359 U.S. 926, 3 L.Ed. 2d 629 (Colo. 1958.

RE; Void judgment may be defined as one in which rendering court lacked subject matter jurisdiction, lacked personal jurisdiction or acted in manner inconsistent with due process of law. Eckel v. MacNeal, 628 N.E. 2d 741 (III. App. Dist 1993).

# RES JUDICATA/VOID JUDGMENT.

RE; A "Void Judgment" as we all know, grounds no rights, forms no defense to actions taken there under, and is vulnerable to any collateral attack (thus here, by). No statute of limitations or repose runs on it's holding, matters thought to be settled thereby are NOT RES JUDICATA. 10/13/58 FRITTS v. KRUGH. SUPREME COURT OF MICHIGAN, 92 N.W. 2d 604, 354 Mich.97.

RE; Res Judicata will not be applied to a <u>void judgment</u> which is one, from its inception, is a complete <u>nullity and without legal</u> <u>effect.</u> Allcock v. Allcock, 437 N.E. 2d 392 (III. App. 3 Dist. 1982.

**NOTE:** I never asked this court to review the supreme court's judgment entered in September 10, 2008, in my last motion dated April 15, 2021, but even if I did ask, it would have been ok, why? **Res Judicata does not apply to a void judgment, where the court that rendered the judgment had no jurisdiction.** 

Dear Chief judge LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN;

I ask for permission to file this complaint in this 2007 foreclosure action, where the state court violated my rights and never had jurisdiction pursuant to 12(B)(1), to render judgment against me. This is a violation of my constitutional rights preserved by the 14th amendment. A court must have jurisdiction to render and enforce a judgment. I 'am not asking this federal court to review the state court judgment, because the federal court has advised the plaintiff that the (Rooker-Feldman doctrine prohibits federal courts from deciding cases brought by state court losers, This is true. But pursuant to Rule 60(B)(4), A party can bring an independent action to vacate the judgment. I now raise

this independent action and to challenge the states court's jurisdiction to render judgment. Proof of jurisdiction must appear on the Record. Once the court has knowledge that Subject Matter is lacking, The court has no discretion but to dismiss the case, below are MY federal constitutional rights that were violated.

12(B)(1) 12(B)(4) 12(B)(5) 60(B)(4) 12(B(2) CPLR 308(4). Rulr 4(E)(1).

I now bring these <u>federal constitutional rights</u>, and <u>federal</u> <u>statutory rights to challenge the jurisdiction of the supreme court.</u>

In any judicial proceeding, the moving party has the burden of proof of demonstrating that the court has <u>Subject Matter Jurisdiction</u> <u>over the matters and the parties.</u> I ask this federal court to bring the defendants to court and allow them to demonstrate on the <u>RECORD</u>, how the court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties.

# New York Court of Appeals,

Without proof of service, the court is unable to determine wheather the motion is valid or not.

It is well settled, once jurisdiction is challenged, it must be decided on the **RECORD**, and **NOT ASSUMMED**.

# Proof of no jurisdiction/Lack of jurisdiction.

Only 4 exhibits prove the subject matter or the parties pursuant to,

12(B)(4) 12(B)(5) Rule 4(E)(1).

# EXHIBITS DA-14 DA-26 DA-27 DA-28.

The defendants failed to obtain (Subject Matter JURISDICTION) OVER ME PURSUANT TO NON COMPLIANCE TO RULE 4(E)1, and 12(B)(5). Nail and Mail. CPLR 308(4).

### THIS IS A JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT.

The defendants process server stated on his affidavit dated May 16, 2007 and filed on May 17, 2007 which is **exhibit DA-27**.

Holding: Harry Torres came to my home on May 12, 2007 at 7;39 and affixed to my door SUMMONS and COMPLAINT.

### CPLR 308(4) Hold:

Where service under paragraphs one and two cannot be made with due diligence, by affixing the summons to the door of either the dwelling place or usual place of abode. Such affixing and mailing to be effected within TWENTY DAYS OF each other, Proof of such service shall be filed with the clerk of the court designated in the summons within Twenty days of each other. Service shall be complete ten days after such filing.

DEFENDANTS failed to comply with service requirements of 12(B)(5) and RULE 4(E)(1), CPLR 308(4).

The defendants failed to perform step 2 of CPLR 308(4) by **NOT** mailing the summons and complaint and failed to file **PROOF** of

**service** with the court indicating that the summons and complaint was mailed within the time allowed. This failed service is a **Jurisdictional defect.** The state court lacked jurisdiction over me when the judgment was rendered and violated my federal constitutional rights Pursuant to 12(B)(5) 12(B)(4) RULE 4(E)(1).

See the RECORD, **Exhibit DA-14**, nothing is found attesting to the mailing of the summons and complaint, OR no proof of service filed within **TWENTY DAYS** as required by the statutes and there is no affidavit of service that establish that the summons and complaint was properly mailed within 20 days to **obtain Subject matter jurisdiction over me**, and to establish proper service.

NOTE: Jurisdiction is NOT ACQUIRED pursuant to CPLR 308(4) unless both (affixing to the door and mailing requirement has been (strictly complied with). New York Court of Appeals.

**NOTE:** The defendant's affidavit dated May 16, 2007 and filed May 17, 2007 **did not indicate** that the summons and complaint had been mailed out by **June 1, 2007,** when it was **mailed.** 

According to the **Furey v Milgrom Court, only** upon the performance of both acts may the claim be deem interposed.

In the **Furey v Milgrom Court** the law HOLD:

Service pursuant to **nail** and **mail** CPLR 308(4). **TWO STEPS** are contemplated by this form of service.

**First:** the summons must be attached to the door of the defendant's actual place of dwelling or usual place of abode.

**Secondly:** a copy of the summons must be mailed to the last known address.

SECOND DEPT HOLD;

FAILURE TO PERFORM EITHER STEP RESULT IN LACK OF JURISDICTION. This is a jurisdictional defect.

The defendants failed to perform step 2 pursuant to <u>12(B)(5)</u>, <u>Rule</u> <u>4(E)(1)</u>, <u>12(B)(4)</u> and failed to **obtain jurisdiction over me**. This is insufficient notice of the lawsuit and a **due process violation**.

; The Appellate Division in Estate of Norman Perlman v Kelley, 2019 NY Slip Op 06475. Second Dept 9-11-2019. HOLD:

Failure to timely mail the summons and complaint after service pursuant CPLR 308(2) and 308(4) is a **JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT.** 

Defendants failed to **obtain jurisdiction** in this foreclosure action.

Because the defendants failed to perform step 2 pursuant to CPLR 308(4) and Rule 4(E)(1) mail the summons and complaint, the court lack jurisdiction. Defendants only filed 3 affidavits pursuant to Service of process exhibit DA-26 DA-27 DA-28. None of these affidavits demonstrated that the summons and complaint was mailed within 20 days pursuant to cplr 308(4). And RULE 4(E)(1).

### **AFFIDAVIT DA-28**

This affidavit **DA-28** was never **entered** in the County Court designated in the summons and complaint but rather in Richman County. The law suit which is New York County, this affidavit **DA-28** is required to be **entered and** filed with the designated court in the summons and complaint New York County pursuant to CPLR 308(4). as the other affidavits were filed. State law and Federal law pursuant to **RULE: 4(I)** requires that the proof of service must be filed with the county clerk <u>designated in the summons</u>, and this **affidavit DA-28** was not filed or entered to the court designated in the summons and complaint as required by **Rule 4(I)**).

this affidavit **DA-28** has established that the mailing **requirement** pursuant to CPLR 308(4) and **Rule 4(E)(1)** and 12(B)(4) 12(B)(5) is untimely,

Feinstein v. Bergner.

New York Court of Appeals, Held. A party receiving actual notice of the suit does not cure the defect, since notice received by means other than those authorized by statute cannot serve to bring a defendant within the jurisdiction of the court. As the defendant's fraudulent affidavit (DA-28) has establish, that the

summons and complaint was mailed on June 18, 2008, proving the second step pursuant to CPLR 308(4) the mailing requirement was mailed over one year later from May 12, 2007, the dated the summons and complaint was affixed to my door. Here the defendants failed to obtain jurisdiction over me, and to establish proper service pursuant to 12(B)(5). This is a jurisdictional defect. The New York Court of Appeals has held: jurisdiction is not acquired pursuant to CPLR 308(4) unless the delivery and the mailing has been ( strictly complied with). I ask this federal Court to dismiss this foreclosure action where the defendants failed to obtain jurisdiction over me, and the affidavits have establish insufficient service of process pursuant to CPLR 308(4) and 12(B)(5) Rule 4(E)(1) 12(B)(4).

the defendants have a **void judgment and insufficient** service of process pursuant to RULE: **12(B)(5)**, and insufficient process pursuant to 12(B)(4) and never obtained jurisdiction over me and never demonstrated proper service,

Courts have held that jurisdiction is "the very bedrock of due process" without proper service, no valid lawsuit arises.

The defendants failed to mail the summons and complaint within the 20 days required, the court lack jurisdiction over

me pursuant to CPLR 308(4), this is a jurisdictional defect and insufficient notice of the lawsuit and violation of my due process to be properly notify of the lawsuit. <u>dismiss</u> the foreclosure action pursuant to 12(B)(1) 12(B)(2) 12(B)(4) 12(B)(5) CPLR 308(4), This is a void judgment on it's face.

This court is obliged to construe **pro se** pleading liberally and interpret them to raise the strongest that they suggest.

**Chief** judge there is no reason not to grant this motion on <u>void</u> judgment, **Lack of Jurisdiction**. This is a independent action from the court judgment. Because this is a void judgment res judicata does not apply, anyway this is new motion.

This court can clearly by those 4 exhibits, that this is a **void** judgment. DA-14 DA-26 DA-27 DA-28,

Judgment is a Void judgment if court that rendered judgment lacks jurisdiction of the parties or of subject matter jurisdiction or acted in a manner inconsistent with due process. Fed. Rule Civ. P roc, Rule 60(b)(4) 28 U.S.A. U.S.C.A. CONST.

Gregory Miles Bartlett/Pro Se.

Faith that Conquer

OCTOBER 31, **2021** 

7/10/13

國

DA-14

**DA-14** 

INDEX NO.:

105275-2007

PLAINTIFF:

TRIBECA LENDING CORP.

DEFENDANT:

BARTLETT, GREGORY M.

CASE STATUS:

DISPOSED

ACTION:

FORECLOSURE

LAST UPDATE:

07-10-2013 10:00AM

JUSTICE

SCARPULLA, SALIANN

Home / SCROLL / COUNTY CLERK MINUTES

DATE	DOCUMENT TYPE
04-19-2007	SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT
04-27-2007	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE (2)
05-17-2007	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
06-14-2007	ANSWER
01-07-2008	ORDER IAS PART 4 SEQ 01 ORDER SIGNED
02-27-2008	. NOTICE OF ENTRY
09-10-2008	JUDGMENT - SD 09-11-2008
10-06-2008	AFFIRMATION OF COMPLIANCE
10-22-2008	NOTICE OF ENTRY
11-14-2008	NOTICE OF SALE
12-18-2008	SHORT FORM ORDER IAS PART 4 SEQ 03
02-17-2009	ORDER IAS PART 19 SEQ 003 MOTION DENIED
02-18-2009	NOTICE OF SALE
08-18-2009	ORDER IAS PART 19 SEQ 004 MOTION GRANTED
09-01-2009	NOTICE OF APPEAL # 2328
09-28-2009	APPELLATE DIVISION RECEIPT
09-28-2009	COPY ORDER/NOTICE OF ENTRY
10-01-2009	NOTICE OF SALE
01-05-2010	NOTICE OF SALE
04-23-2010	SUBPEONA #707
08-05-2010	ORDER IAS PART 19 SEQ 05 IS DENIED
09-14-2010	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (COPY)
10-01-2010	ORDER IAS PART 19 SEQ 007 MOTION IS GRANTED.
04-13-2011	ORDER IAS PART 19 SEQ 006 MOTION IS DECIDED IN ACCORD, WITH THE ACCOMP, MEMORANDUM DECISION.
05-16-2011	REMITTITUR
05-20-2011	NOTICE OF APPEAL # 1454
06-03-2011	APPELLATE DIVISION RECEIPT
06-15-2011	NOTICE OF ENTRY
12-19-2011	ORDER IAS PART 19 SEQ 008 MOTION IS DENIED.
02-02-2012	NOTICE OF ENTRY

iapps.courts.state.ny.us/iscroll/CCMinutes.jsp?IndexNo=105275-2007

EX 14

# Case 1:21-cv-09116-UA Document 2 Filed 11/03/21 Page 18 of 20

DA-26

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO , County of NEW YORK

CASE # BARTLETT 0422 Date Received: 04/22/07

TRIBECA LENDING CORPORATION

07-105275 Index #

Plaintiff

Affidavit of Attempted Service

against GREGORY M. BARTLETT F/K/A GREGORY HILL, ET AL

Defendants

State of New York County of Suffolk

HARRY TORRES being duly sworn, deposes and says: that (s)he is over the age of 18 years, is not a party to the above action, resides in the State of New York, and is employed as a Process Server by SOLFERINO & SOLFERINO, L.L.P. to serve a copy of the SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT in the above action for personal service upon "JOHN DOE NUMBERS 1-5" AND "JANE D DE NUMBERS 1-5" 16 WEST 130TH STREET NEW YORK MY 10037 at

Your deponent made proper and diligent effort to serve said process on the above named person(s) by calling at the aforementioned address on the

25TH DAY OF APRIL 2007 AND FOUND SAID PREMISES, A 3 STORY RED BRICK RESIDENCE WITH DOUBLE BROWN DOORS, TO BE VACANT.



Your deponent verily believes that he will be unable to effect personal service upon the above named person(s) herein, although your Deponent made due and diligent effort to effect same.

Sworn to before me on U

MARCI YOUNG

Notary Public, State of New York No. 01Y06140147 Qualified in Suffolk County Commission Expires January 23, 20

915257 LICENSE NO.

on

**60 BURT DRIVE** 

DEER PARK, NEW YORK 11729 631/667-1800

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

INDEX NO.: 07-105275

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

TRIBECA LENDING CORPORATION

Plaintiff(s)

**AFFIDAVIT** OF **SERVICE** 

GREGORY M. BARTLETT F/K/A GREGORY HILL, ET AL

Defendant(s)

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK HARRY TORRES

being duly sworn, deposes and says: that deponent is not a party

to this action, is over 18 years of age and resides in That on 05/12/07

The State of New York 7:39 AM at 16 VEST 130TH STREET HEW YORK HY 10037

deponent served the within SUNMONS AND COMPLAINT bearing Index# 07-105275 & filling date 04/19/07

against

GREGORY N. BARTLETT F/K/A GREGORY HILL

INDIVIDUAL by delivering thereat a true copy of each to said defendant personally; deponent knew said person so served to be the person described as said defendant therein. (5) He identified (her) himself as such.

CORPORATION

, by delivering thereat a true copy of each to

personally; deponent knew said so served to be the described as the named

defendant and knew said individual to be the

thereof an authorized person to accept service of process

SUITABLE AGE PERSON by delivering thereat a true copy of each to

a person of suitable age and discretion. That person was also asked by deponent whether said premises was the defendant's

and the reply was affirmative.

AFFIXING TO DOOR, ETC.

by affixing a true copy of each to the door of said premises, which is defendant's

dwelling house

within the state. Deponent was unable, with due diligence to find defendant or a person of suitable age and discretion thereat, having verified

defendant's

dwelling house

NEIGHBOR AT 14 W 130TH ST

and having called there on

5/1005:43PM;5/1103:00PM;5/1207:39AM RED BRICK RESIDENCE/DOUBLE BROWN DOORS

Additional RPAPL Section 1303 Notice Served Therevith

Mailed on 05/15/07 BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

CONFIDENTIAL MAY 1 7 2007 MAILED IN AN ENVELOPE MARKED PERSONAL & deponent also enclosed a copy of same in a postpaid sealed wrapper properly addressed to defendant at defendant's NEW YORK OFFICE

Deponent describes the individual served to the best of deponent's ability at the time and circumstances of service as follows:

Sex Skin Color Hair Color

DESCRIPTION

MAILING

X

USE IN NYC CIVIL CT.

Other Identifying features:

The language required by NYCRR 2900.2(e), (f) & (h) was set forth on the face of said summons(es).

MILITARY SERVICE

I asked the person spoken to whether defendant was in active military service of the United States or of the State of New York in any capacity whatever and received a negative reply.

The source of my information and the grounds of my belief are the conversations and observations above narrated. Upon information and belief I aver that the defendant is not in the military service of New York State or of the United States as that term is defined

in either the State or Federal statutes.

SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON

HARRY

LICENSE NO. 915257

DALLO YOUNG

Notary Public, State of New York No. 01Y05140147 Outsillied in Suffolk County Commission Expires January 23, 20

**DA-28** 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF RICHMOND

TRIBECA LENDING CORPORATION,

Index No.:

105275-07

Date Filed:

4-19-07

Plaintiff,

-against-

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE CPLR § 3215(g)(3)(iii)

GREGORY M. BARTLETT f/k/a GREGORY HILL; NYS DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION & FINANCE; NYC PARKING VIOLATIONS BUREAU; NYC ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD,

Mortgaged Premises: 16 West 130<sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10037

Defendants.

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NASSAU }ss.:

ROSEMARIE MISURACA, being duly sworn deposes and says as follows: I am not a party to the action, I am over 18 years of age and reside at Franklin Square, New York. On June 18, 2008, pursuant CPLR § 3215 (g)(3)(iii) I served the within SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT by enclosing a true copy thereof in a regular first class mail postage-paid wrapper marked "personal and confidential" and not indicating on the outside thereof that it was from an attorney or concerned an alleged debt, and depositing same in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within New York State, addressed to each of the following parties who are natural persons at their last known residence address and/or place of employment as set forth after each name:

GREGORY M. BARTLETT Defendant Pro se 16 West 130<sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10037

Based upon an examination of our file, no other person or-party is entitled to service as provided

Rosemarie Misuraca

herein.

Duly sworn to before me this

18th day of June, 2008

Notary Public

PÈTER C KAITERIS
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK
NO. 02KA6091665
OUALIFIED IN NASSAU COUNTY
COMMISSION EXPIRES: APRIL 28, 20

1